

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. 8114 |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 09/780,485   | 02/12/2001  | Junichi Koshiba      | Q63128                  |                       |  |
| 75   |             |                      |                         |                       |  |
| SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202 |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                       |  |
|  |             |                      | VO, HAI                 |                       |  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER          |  |
|  |             |                      | 1771                    |                       |  |
|  |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/11/2002 |                       |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application No   |  | Applicant(s)   |           |
|---|--|--|--|--|-----------|
| Office Action Summary   |  | 09/780,485   |  | KOSHIBA ET AL.   |           |
|   |  | Examiner   |  | Art Unit   |           |
|   |  | Hai Vo   |  | 1771   |           |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply   | ears on the cove   | r sheet with the co  | orrespondence addres                                       | s         |
| A SH<br>THE<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If the<br>- If NO<br>- Failu<br>- Any I | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 66(a). In no event, how<br>within the statutory mii<br>ill apply and will expire | ever, may a reply be time<br>nimum of thirty (30) days<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from to | will be considered timely.  he mailing date of this commun | nication. |
| Status  | os patent term aujustinent. See 37 GFR 1.704(b).   |  |  |  |           |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 C   | october 2002 .   |  |  |           |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi   | s action is non-fi   | nal.   |  |           |
| 3)□<br>Dispositi  | Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E on of Claims  | nce except for fo<br>Ex parte Quayle,  | ormal matters, pro<br>1935 C.D. 11, 45   | osecution as to the me<br>53 O.G. 213.                     | erits is  |
| 4)🖂   | Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |           |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-7</u> is/are withdrawn f  | rom consideratio   | on.  |  |           |
| 5)  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |           |
| 6)⊠   | Claim(s) 1,2 and 8-10 is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |           |
| 7)  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |           |
| 8)  Application   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers   | election requirer  | ment.  |  |           |
|   | The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |           |
|   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept   |  | ed to by the Exam  | iner   |           |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |  |  |  |           |
| 11) 🗌 T   |  |  |  | ed by the Examiner.  |           |
|   | If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl   |  |  | •  |           |
| 12)[] T   | he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa   | miner.   |  |  |           |
| Priority u  | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |  |  |           |
| 13) 🗌 .   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign <sub>l</sub>   | priority under 35  | U.S.C. § 119(a)-   | (d) or (f).  |           |
|   | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  |  | ,  | , , ,  |           |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents  | have been recei  | ved.   |  |           |
| :   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  |  |  | 1 No   |           |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit<br>application from the International Bure<br>see the attached detailed Office action for a list of   | y documents hav  | ve been received   | in this National Stage                                     | ;         |
|   | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic  |  |  |  | cation)   |
| a)  | ☐ The translation of the foreign language provicknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic   | sional applicatio  | n has been receiv  | ved.   | sation).  |
| Attachment(   |  |  | 33 · u   |  |           |
| 2)  | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) 📙 1   | Interview Summary (P<br>Notice of Informal Pat<br>Other:                         | PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>ent Application (PTO-152)          |           |
| 5. Patent and Trac<br>ΓΟ-326 (Rev.  | 04.04)   | n Summary  | <u> </u>   | Part of Paper  | No. 6     |

Art Unit: 1771

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1,2 and 8-10 in Paper No. 5
is acknowledged. The examiner absolutely agrees that Applicants reserve the right
to request rejoinder of the method claims upon indication of the product claims as
being allowable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tojo et al (US 4,877,817). Tojo discloses a foam rubber having the spring strength HS JISA which is a hardness as determined according to JIS-K-6301, and a density of 0.7 meeting the specific ranges as set forth in the claims (table 1 and column 18, line 13). Tojo is silent as to the cell diameter of the foamed rubber. However, Tojo is using the same materials such as an ethylene-alpha-olefin-non-conjugated diene copolymer rubber and a

Application/Control Number: 09/780,485

Art Unit: 1771

blowing agent azodicarbonamide and the same process (blending, heating, molding, and foaming) to form the vulcanizable and foamable rubber (column 10, lines 18-50). Furthermore, Tojo teaches the foamed rubber having a Mooney viscosity meeting the required ranges as set forth in the claims. It is the examiner's position that the cell diameter would be inherently present. Note In re Best 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977) as to the providing of this rejection under 35 USC 103 in addition to the rejection made under 35 USC 102.

With regard to claims 8 and 10, Tojo disloses the vulcanizable and foamed rubber being useful as an electrical insulating material covering the conducting part of electrical wires (column 9, line 68 et seq. and column 10, lines 25-28). The examiner interprets that the electrical wire is analogous to a rigid body as set forth in the claims.

With regard to claim 9, Tojo is using the same materials such as an ethylene-alphaolefin-non-conjugated diene copolymer rubber and a blowing agent
azodicarbonamide and the same process (blending, heating, molding, and foaming)
to form the vulcanizable and foamable rubber as Applicants (column 10, lines 1850). Furthermore, Tojo teaches the foamed rubber having a Mooney viscosity,
hardness properties and density meeting the required ranges as set forth in the
claims. It is the examiner's position that the Young's modulus would be inherently
present.

5. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tojo et al (US 4,877,817) in view of Okita et al (US 6,132,847). Tojo discloses the foamed

Application/Control Number: 09/780,485

Art Unit: 1771

·\* /\*.

rubber can be used as a weather strip (column 10, line 8). Okita discloses a weather strip having a U-shaped grip 14 and a hollow seal 16 and a lip seal 18, all of which are extruded from the finely foamed rubber that is formed form a foamed rubber (figures 1 and 2, column 4, lines 63-66). The examiner interprets that either the U-shaped grip or the lip seal is analogous to a claimed rigid body. The U-shaped rib 14 of Okita is inserted with a metal 12 (figures 1 and 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the foamed rubber in form of a weather strip having the structure as taught in Okita because it is a typical and desirable structure of the weather strip. With regard to claim 9, Tojo is using the same materials such as an ethylene-alphaolefin-non-conjugated diene copolymer rubber and a blowing agent azodicarbonamide and the same process (blending, heating, molding, and foaming) to form the vulcanizable and foamable rubber (column 10, lines 18-50) as Applicants. Furthermore, Tojo teaches the foamed rubber having a Mooney viscosity, hardness properties and density meeting the required ranges as set forth in the claims. It is the examiner's position that the Young's modulus would be inherently present.

# Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2 and 8-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- The 112 claim rejections and the art rejections in Paper no. 3 have been overcome by the presence response.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,485

Art Unit: 1771

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (703) 605-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri, 8:30-6:00 and on alternating Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

HV December 7, 2002

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
LECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 5